

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/073,628	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KIMELMAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Satish S. Rampuria	<b>Art Unit</b> 2191	

**All Participants:**

(1) Satish S. Rampuria.

(2) MICHAEL BUCHENHORNER (Reg. No. 33,162).
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 31 October 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

No.

**Claims discussed:**

13,14,19,20

**Prior art documents discussed:**


No.

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed an examiner's amendment by incorporating the limitations of claims 14 and 20 to their independent claims 13 and 20 respectively. The attorney of the record has granted the permission. Further, for claims 19-24 Examiner suggested to add the word 'storage', after the word readable to over come the potential 101 rejection. For details please refer to the Examiner's amendment.